CGAcc: CSR-based Graph Traversal Accelerator on HMC
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ABSTRACT
Graph traversal is widely involved in lots of realistic scenarios such as road routing, social network and so on. Unfortunately graph traversal is quite time-consuming because of terrible spatial locality. Conventional prefetch technology and parallel framework do not bring much benefit. Hybrid Memory Cube (HMC) can serve as high bandwidth main memory as well as providing an enhanced logic layer with the ability of controlling memory access and processing in memory (PIM). Armed with the knowledge of graph’s structure, we propose CGAcc in this paper. By deploying three prefetchers on the logic layer and making them in a pipeline way, CGAcc achieves average 2.8X speedup compared with conventional memory system with stream prefetcher.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Graph traversal is adopted in a wide variety of realistic scenarios, for example road routing, social relationship network, gene graph analysis and so on. Because of the terrible spatial locality, graph traversal is quite time-consuming, especially when the graph contains huge number of vertexes. Conventionally when we try to accelerate memory-bound workloads, prefetch is an efficient way to relieve the very high memory transaction latency by learning the access pattern and keeping a relative high accuracy in predict and fetch the following possible accessed data. Unfortunately, graph’s access pattern is data-dependent so that very hard to predict through a fixed mode. Several classical prefetchers, such as strider prefetcher, pointer fetcher, are reported inefficient to deal with graph traversal [1] [3]. It is also quite difficult to use parallel frameworks or devices for accelerating as usually graphs cannot be obviously parallelly handled [6]. However, the well-defined structure graph structure leaves chance for explicit prefetchers. Based on knowing where to locate the data used in the near future according to the knowledge of the graph structure rather than access pattern, the only problem is to accelerate this process because direct prefetch is meaningless. In this paper, we use Micron’s Hybrid Memory Cube (HMC) to implement this acceleration. HMC serves as high-bandwidth main memory with an enhanced logic layer which can handle simple atomic commands as well as memory accesses. We propose CGAcc, a CSR based graph traversal accelerator on HMC, which deploys three prefetchers working in a pipeline style cooperatively to reduce large amounts of transaction latency. Comprehensive evaluations are concluded to show that CGAcc can achieve average 2.8X speedup.

2 ARCHITECTURE
In the purpose of reducing capacity cost, Compressed row storage (CSR) [2] is used as a representation for graph. In the presentation of CSR-based graph, three arrays (vertex, edge, visited) are needed. It is worth noted that the contents in these arrays are indexes rather than pointers. Figure 1 shows the work flow of a CSR-based graph traversal example. The index of a work node leads to the corresponding two locations (index and index + 1) in vertex array. These two values which fetched from vertex arrays illustrate the range that the data should take from edge array. Similarly, the edge data will also be used as the index for the visited array. Finally, the visited array will be accessed to determine whether this extended node has been accessed or not, and if not, this node will be pushed into the work list as a new node.

The work flow shown in Figure 1 implies the possibility for pipelining it. As Figure 2 illustrates, CGAcc deploys three prefetchers on the logic layer of HMC. These prefetchers work in a pipeline style cooperatively. In our mechanism CGAcc acts like a master rather than a slave, which means what CPU side needs to do is only send a start request. After the request is accepted by CGAcc, it will continue fetch data until all nodes are accessed. Simultaneously when a new node is found, which means the traversal order is confirmed, the node index will be sent back to CPU side.
CGAcc modules overview

CGAcc needs the support of several external modules as follows shows.

1) A register (AR, Activation Register) which used as a trigger to wake CGAcc up. At the very beginning, CPU sends an activation request which includes start node index to AR. CGAcc will access AR periodically before it is activated. Another register (CR, Continual Register) is used to store the current maximum start node index. This register is needed because in the vast majority cases, the graph can be treated as forest. Thus we set this register to start a new tree traversal when a tree traversal is completed.

2) On-chip Cache. In purpose of reducing transaction latency, we also deploy three caches (Call them C1, C2 and C3) on logic layer. These three caches are used as buffers for vertex, edge and visited array. On every memory access operation to different prefetcher, the corresponding cache will be access first. The data will be directly fetched if cache-hit happened, otherwise the related prefetcher will send a memory access to DRAM layer. In our current work, the caches obey to classical replacement policy. Actually it can be further optimized by knowing the nodes that will be accessed in the near future. This will be our future work.

3) Prefetcher group. Consisted of VEP (Vertex Prefetcher), EP (Edge Prefetcher) and VSP (Visited Prefetcher). VEP receives and uses new node index to access visited array and according to the result, fetch vertex data. Except accesses AR at the very beginning, VEP accesses CR when it is notified that the current tree is processed over. In other cases, VEP receives request that contains the new node index from VSP. When vertex data is fetched, VEP will send some requests to EP. EP is used to fetch edge data. It receives requests from VEP. After edge data (Extended by the current processing node) is fetched, EP will send request to VSP. VSP is used to fetch visited data. It receives request from EP and then it determines whether this node is new node by simply snooping if there exists a write access. Write access to visited array means a node that never be visited is now being visited, which also means that the node index should be sent to VEP for the following traversal.

3 EVALUATION

We implemented CGAcc in the cycle-accurate CasHMC simulator [4]. We used Intel PIN [5] to collect memory trace that traversal algorithm generates. This memory traces only contains the key part of the algorithm which excludes construction and initiation parts. A conventional memory system with two-level cache and stream prefetcher is used as baseline.

We use several graph benchmarks for evaluation. These benchmarks include synthetic and real-world graphs, provided by GraphBIG Dataset [7]. Synthetic graphs with flexible vertexes and edges (1k~1000k in our experiment) are generated by LDBC-SNB data generator.

Figure 3 shows the evaluation results of CGAcc compared with baseline. The left three bars show the results of real-world benchmarks, and the middle four bars show the results of synthetic graphs. We can learn that for all benchmarks, the average speedup can reach 2.8X. Respectively, for real-world workloads, the average speedup is 2.84X while the speedup shows as 2.70X for synthetic workloads, which shows not much difference. We notice that, for workloads Road and LDBC-1000k which have similar vertex number, the speedups (2.67X and 1.12X) exist relatively large gap. This is because the acceleration is strongly related to the structure of graph. For instance, if the graph is dense enough, P2 may become the bottleneck for taking too much time fetching edge data.
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